UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY (973) 776-7700

CHAMBERS OF

JAMES B. CLARK, III

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

U.S. COURTHOUSE 50 WALNUT STREET, ROOM 2060 NEWARK NI 07102

October 31, 2019

LETTER ORDER

Re: Szemple v. Rutgers University, et al.

Civil Action No. 19-13300 (JMV)

Dear Mr. Szemple and Counsel:

The Court is in receipt of a letter from Michael Podera, Esq. of Podera Law indicating that he is "willing to take this case *pro bono* and would welcome appointment" as *pro bono* counsel for Plaintiff. *See* Dkt. No. 5. Plaintiff previously filed a motion for the appointment of *pro bono* counsel [Dkt. No.

2] which was subsequently denied by the Court [Dkt. No. 4]. In denying Plaintiff's motion, the Court

found that Plaintiff had "not sufficiently established his indigency" and noted that Plaintiff's

Complaint had not been screened by the Court to determine whether Plaintiff's claims have "some

merit in fact and law." Dkt. No. 4 at p. 2 (citing Tabron v. Grace, 6 F.3d 147, 153 (3d Cir. 1993)).

There has been no change in the circumstances noted by the Court in its denial of Plaintiff's previous

motion for pro bono counsel and no renewed motion by Plaintiff. Although Mr. Podera has indicated

to the Court that he would be willing to accept an appointment by the Court as pro bono counsel for

Plaintiff, the Court, based upon the lack of change in circumstances which led to the denial of

Plaintiff's previous motion, declines to order the appointment of pro bono counsel for Plaintiff at this

juncture. However, the Court knows of no impediment which would prevent Mr. Podera from

representing Plaintiff pro bono in this matter absent an Order from this Court requiring him to do so.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ James B. Clark, III

JAMES B. CLARK, III

United States Magistrate Judge